From the will of Joan (Jane?) Of Rendcomb in 1619 we know of her brothers then living. These people were born before 1550-60 to a father born before 1530. Is he the common ancestor of all the Eacotts? or are some of the families from the line below from a different person.

Joan d.1619	William	Thomas	Richard NC – b Elizabeth I I I	oro Phillipe		
John ?? d. 1606 m. Alice d. 1608	Robert d.29	Thomas Ekott B Elizabeth d 33	1	Edward d. 1612 Margerie d 31	William d 21	<mark>John</mark> d.'10
 1569 ales '72 John '72 Ellin '73 Margaret '74 Lettice '76 Anne '78 Eedie d.78 '79 Elizabeth '83 Mary 	ʻ75 Ellin	Margett Marie Katherine Elizabeth Edith Alice Joseph '78 Alse '80 Anne	 ?? Thomas ?? William ?? Katherine ?? John '80 Thomas '81 Anthony '81 Elizabeth 			
'98 Eleanor m.	<u>Robt&Christian</u> '89 Katherine '92 Elizabeth	Thomas '89 John '91 Alice '93 Thomas Thomas d. 04&A '94 Richard '99 Thomas '03 Johne m 32 '03 Margerie m 3		 '99 Richard d. '00 Eleanor '02 Mary '04 Thomas '07 William 	'90 Richa '94 Rober '97 Thom	rt
John '23 chrch warden '07 Joseph		Richard&Elizabe '17 Elizabeth '18 Thomas '21 Richard	eth Gatlye C'm.16 (R'd will 63 H		<u>Richard&1</u> '07 Thon	
(Roug	hmason) <u>Richard d 32</u>		<u>m. 23</u> <u>Thomas</u>	&Ann Brayne m.	<u>29</u>	
<u>James Eacoot&Ann</u> '41 James				'42 Alse d. wife Jo	ohn of Moc	or

End at 1640's

At this time 1640's the lineage becomes too uncertain to form continuing links. Some of the links created above are speculative as well. With the marriage of James it is clear he has no known antecedants.

The colored links indicate possible identity matches.

The descendants of Thomas Ekott were inclined to use Ecott, Eacott, rather than Eycott. Edward and Margery also used Ecott, Eacott. From 1580 until the 1650 the large majority of entries used Ecott or Eacott. 23 of 100 used Ey. After 1650 Ey becomes common again for a time.

These are the patterns for the next 50 years or so.

From 1640's to 1715

<u>Richard R</u> '44 Thomas	ichard m. Kate Bridg	es 51 Richard m. Eliz V		<u>Thomas</u> <u>Jo</u> Hannah	hn m Ann Caddle 56
'50 William		58 Richard		Thomas	
50 William		'60 Elizabeth	57	Thomas	
		'63 Samuell			
		'64 Richard (b to	R'd sr?)	"	64 Richard
		'66 John			
		68 Richard	'68	Elizabeth	
		'75 Jo.			
William&Joa	n (Sttn)	'75 William			John m. Stevens 68
William& El			68 Johnathan		
'64 William					
68 Richard		John&Ann (Colbn)	Joseph	(of 07??) d.82	Thomas & Marye 77
'61 William		'69 Anne		nomas \d.87	'78 Thomas
'62 Elizabeth	1		'76 <mark>Jo</mark>	nathan	
'75 John			'78 <mark>N</mark> a	athaniel	
'77 Thomas			'81 M	artha	'81 Elizabeth
'79 Ann		Richard d 02 m Bridget D	olman 80		
		'81 Richard '98 Brid	dget		'83 John
		'83 Mary			
		'84 Anne			'85 Mary
		'86 Hannah			'86 Rebecca
		'91 Bridgett d.94			'87 Martha
		'95 John d 98and William	n d 98		
Richard (Brsf					y m. Mary Shewell 88
'88 Thomas	'90 Jno.	'88 Samuell	'02 John		John '03 Joseph
	'90 Thoma	'89 Joane	\(mother Esther	r)	'07 Mary
		'90 Sarah			'10 Berkley
		'91 Mary			Richard (c'str)
		'93 Anne (c'str)			Thomas
		' 97 Hannah			Sarah
	d 00 m Jane Cull 96	Nathaniel m Anr		onathan m Eliz V	Wilson 03
'97 John		'03 Edy	rth	'05 Barkley	
'98 Thomas				'07 Joseph	
		'04 Anr			
Richard d. 03	<u>(s.cy)</u>	'07 Sara			
'01 William		'09 Ma			
		'11 Nat	haniel (c'str		
	n Ravenhill 03				
'03 Thomas	'10 Lucye	Samuel m Esther	Morse 08		

'04 Hester	'09 Deborah		'10 William
'07 Rachel John m Ann Savory 06		John (amp Cru) Samuel (Ampc)	
		Sumuer (Empe)	
Richard (c'str)	John (will 11 Iron act)	Richard & Eliz	Hannah Ncy
'10 Anne '11 Ann		'12 Anne	'13 Giles Hancock
'14 John			
Joseph (Tetbury)	Richard		
'13 William	'15 George		

While some of the connections are still somewhat traceable, people appear with no antecedents and there are choices for others. The period after 1715 is more confused yet. During the 80's and 90's above Nathaniel, Berkeley and Samuel were all living in Cirencester.

Edward and Margerie, who lived at one time at Winstone had 3 sons, Richard, Thomas and William. In Warminster in 1665 John and Edward Eacot are householders. Whether, brothers or father and son it could be speculated that Edward is the grand child or gg child of Edward and Margerie there being no others known by the name.